


Licensing Cumulative Impact Assessment 2021

This report was generated on 13/09/21. Overall 27 respondents completed this questionnaire. The report has been filtered to show the responses for 'All Respondents'.

The following charts are restricted to the top 12 codes. Lists are restricted to the most recent 100 rows.

Do you agree or disagree that the area identified in Whitley Bay (on page 8 of the CIA document) should be a Cumulative Assessment Area?



If agree, do you have any additional evidence that you would like us to consider to support the Cumulative Impact Assessment undertaken for the Whitley Bay area?



If yes, please outline below any additional evidence you would like us to consider?

A lot of the shops on Park View are being turned into establishments that sell alcohol. The boundary should be extended to include Park View.

Multiple instances of ASB and youth congregation from locality and passengers travelling in. Tenants complaining regular and one of our hotspot locations.

Since the granting of licenses to new restaurant and metro station bar there has been a notable increase in noise around Station Road at 11pm. For now the benefits of these establishments outweigh the noise issues however I would have concerns if further licenses were granted in the area.

Impact of changes in policing

We have lived in the zoned area for more than 30 years, in two separate properties, despite changes to South Parade clubs and pubs changing use to residential housing, the noise, socially unacceptable behaviour and total lack of full time policing in this area as a whole has left many of our neighbours to either sell up and move out of the area or are considering moving, as we are. The area is run down despite some really good small businesses opening up. The council do not appear to be monitoring : removing any bad behaviours ie. graffiti (I have this week cleaned graffiti off back property wall), and back street rubbish dumping everywhere, and don't think the cctv in our high street even work, and it's sadly a foregoneis

I live in one of the residential streets in the area and as the local night time economy has grown there has been an increase in night time distrbance of drunk people shouting and fighting at night and also visibly drunk people walking down my street during the day and in high street when i am shopping. This is particularly upleasant when I have children with me. Expanding the licensing in the area any more would have a further negative impact on residents.

The dramatic reduction in the anti-social behaviour in and around South Parade, Whitley Bay.

Please explain why you disagree that the area identified in Whitley Bay (on page 8 of the CIA document) should be a Cumulative Impact Area?

It has so far been proven thr establishments licenced to sell liquor have had a positive effect on the revenue generated for the local businesses. The demographic of clients are respectful and local individuals and families.

Many violent crimes are without doubt linked to the large concentration of 'problem' people living in and above premises on South Parade and the streets immediately surrounding it rather than linked to the remaining few licensed premises themselves. This is apparent by the number of police and ambulances attending these half-way houses and cheap accommodations throughout the week - even your own (few) figures show Tuesday to be more problematic than a Friday. Your report has been presented purely to serve political ends and does not bear up to scrutiny of the facts.

REDUCTION IN LICENSED PREMISES HAS KILLED NIGHT TIME ECONOMY, NIGHT CLUBS WHICH ARE DRAW FOR TOURISTS AND RESIDENTS ALIKE SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO OPEN

I don't think there are too many places with licenses already, increasing the number of licenses/venues would also spread the density of people in the area. Reducing/limiting licenses won't affect anti social behaviour, focus should be on enforcing and not punishing small businesses further significant reduction in bars in the area.

It is fine in itself but should include Park View. Park View is now becoming flooded with Licenced Premises and not including it in the will only make matters worse as licence applications will rise

Do you agree or disagree that the area identified in Tynemouth (on page 9 of the CIA document) should be a Cumulative Assessment area?

Agree (21)  84%

Disagree (4)  16%

If agree, do you have any additional evidence that you would like us to consider to support the Cumulative impact Assessment undertaken for the Tynemouth area?

Yes (2)  9%

No (20)  91%

If yes, please outline below any additional evidence you would like us to consider?

Impact of changes in policing

The area in and around Front Street, Tynemouth should be a balanced blend of retail and hospitality, and within the latter category a blend of those establishments which primarily sell food and those which primarily sell alcohol. A rigidly enforced CIA will help to achieve and maintain this balance. (Tynemouth Front Street should not be a 'no go area' on certain evening for residents)

Please explain why you disagree that the area identified in Tynemouth (on page 9 of the CIA document) should be a Cumulative Impact Area? (The quality of the performances)

THE NUMBER OF INCIDENTS HAS REDUCED AND PREMISES SHOULD HAVE LATER CLOSURE TIMES.

I don't think there are too many places with licenses already, increasing the number of licenses/venues would also spread the density of people in the area. Reducing/limiting licenses won't affect anti social behaviour, focus should be on enforcing and not punishing small businesses further. For tynemouth, the focus should be on management of irresponsible drinking/littering/anti social behaviour at the beaches which has nothing to do with the licenses granted by venues in that area known established area for lisencing.

Do you think any other areas should be included in the Cumulative Impact Assessment?



Please explain which additional area(s) should be included in the Cumulative Impact Assessment, providing their boundaries and the evidence to support your view.

North Shields Fish Quay including Borough Road and Tanners Bank.

Due to the new establishments selling alcohol springing up on Park View the boundary should be extended to run from Promenade up Marine Avenue and along Park View round to Whitley Road. This would include the alley ways used as short cuts and toilets by patrons of Whitley Bays licensed premises

Park view and surrounding area , impact on residential properties could be adversely affected

The Fish Quay from the old Ice Factory to tanners bank, its getting out of hand and high risk given the river.

Many new licenses have been granted along the length of Park View. Has there been no impact of this on the surrounding area?

NONE

Front Street Monkseaton has several licensed premises which should be included

Beaches

North Shields Fish Quay area - from Liddell Street to Tanners Bank. The place is in danger of being turned into the Bigg Market for the 2020s. This represents a complete insult and licensing authority contempt for the people who have invested in the regeneration of the area with their mortgages over the last 25-30 years.

Fish Quay

Park View, Whitley Bay

The Fishquay area at North Shields.

Fish Quay conservation area due to over reliance on pubs & restaurants, the councils own view is that a more diverse economy is required ie retail arts etc. Perhaps the council should actively sell the idea to supermarkets, the Bilton building would be ideal

Park View, Whitley Bay.

Licensing Cumulative Impact Assessment 2021

Please explain which additional area(s) should be included in the Cumulative Impact Assessment, providing their boundaries and the evidence to support your view.

North Shields Fish Quay should be considered. There are currently 20 licenced premises on the quay and we have seen a rise in alcohol-related antisocial behaviour as the number of bars has increased. The Fish Quay Neighbourhood Plan-2013 (FQNP) and the North Tyneside Local Plan-2017 (NTLP) are both accepted documents that are seen to assist in the decision-making process for planning applications and development proposals. The FQNP (2013) states as an 'Overall Priority' 1.4.5 To regenerate specific sites to enable the Fish Quay area to continue its development as a vibrant, distinctive, mixed-use community tak

North Shields Fish Quay - there are already 20 Licensed Premises on the Quay (21 if 'How Do You Do' is included). The Fish Quay Plan (2013) and North Shields Plan (2017) and the most recent proposals for North Shields have strongly focussed upon a balanced development: While The Local Plan (2017) recognises the importance of encouraging suitable recreation and tourism uses it is equally keen to emphasise the need for supporting a mix of other uses, such as appropriate small retail premises and small to medium sized businesses. (AS8.12 Fish Quay and New Quay.)

Please use the space below for any other comments you wish to make about the Cumulative Impact Assessment:

There is a lot of disturbance along the back of Roxburgh Terrace late at night. Shouting, singing and the occasional fight. The whole of Whitley Bay town centre should be included in the Cumulative area

Cumulative Impact Assessment was created to keep a lid on the number of licensed premises. This area designation is arguably outdated - The true issue we have are the low life's, vagrants and drug users that live in the properties in this immediate area. But NTC can't address that - so will point the fingers at licensed premises in an attempt to look proactive.

LATE NIGHT ECONOMY IS VITAL TO DRAW IN VISITORS

Alcohol fuelled beach party's should be tackled including dealing premises supplying alcohol

Limiting licenses provides an inconsistent approach and there are lots of available spaces in these areas that could support small businesses, granting licenses encourages and supports small businesses in being able to offer a diverse range of services.

waste of time.

One impact that appears to be ignored is the increased risk of accidents involving staff/customers of bars on the Fish Quay wandering across the road in front of oncoming traffic. This roadway should be maintained for vehicles accessing the local businesses.

If licencing is (as it needs to be) is restricted in the whitley bay zone licences will be sought for other premises on Park View. Park View is now saturated with licenced premises.

I was one of several Fishquay residents that put these words together. As residents we appreciate the opportunity to participate in this consultation. Many stakeholders feel that, 'Saturation Point' has been reached regarding new liquor licences down here on the Quay. At this present time the presumption regarding new licences is that they will be granted, if a C.I.A. was enacted the presumption would be that a liquor licence would not be granted, without full consultation with all stakeholders. Looking to the future of the Fish Quay and to achieve the objectives outlined in the Regeneration Plan, and the Fish Quay neighbourhood plan, we believe that the Council should halt the granting of new licences, to help redress the balance between the needs of all the community and not just the restaurant/ pub culture. We believe that the key to future progress is a close integration and collaboration between Planning, Licensing, and Stakeholders and we would welcome the opportunity to form a new progressive partnership to further the growth of the Fish Quay.

Given the recent planning application on Western Quay car park clearly the council or part of it wants more diversity on the Quay


Any more bars and it won't be long before you have another South Parade on your hands. Tynemouth on a Saturday night as evidence.

Please use the space below for any other comments you wish to make about the Cumulative Impact Assessment:

The cumulative impact policy should also be more robustly implemented and enforced. Since the plan was adopted there have been new licences granted in Tynemouth.

Once in place it must be seen to be operating - implementation is vital.

Are you responding as a...?



Which, if any, organisation do you represent? (please specify)

Nexus
Lewis Bartoli Councillor for Tynemouth